## Fiscal Estimate - 2005 Session

X	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supple	emental
LRB	Number	05-3416/1		Intro	duction No	umber	AB-610	
Subje	et	,						
Reimb	ursement of	cities and villag	es for fire call	s on state hig	hways			
Fiscal	Effect							
	No State Fisc ndeterminate Increase I Appropria Decrease Appropria Create Ne	e Existing tions Existing	Reve	ase Existing enues ease Existing enues	to	crease Cos absorb with Yes ecrease Cos	nin agency	
	Indeterminat 1. Increas Permiss 2. Decreas	e Costs sive Mandato	3. ☑ Incre ory ☑ Perm 4. ☐ Decre	ase Revenue issive ☐ Mar ease Revenu issive ☐ Mar	Go ndatory e	pes of Local overnment U Towns Counties School Districts		Cities
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations  ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☒ SEG ☐ SEGS 20.395 (3) )(eq)								
Agenc	y/Prepared	Ву		Authorized S	Signature			Date
DOT/ F	Richard Moss	s (608) 267-783	0	Julie Johnsor	n (608) 267-3	703		9/6/2005

# Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOT 9/6/2005

LRB Number <b>05-3416/1</b>	Introduction Number	AB-610	Estimate Type	Original				
Subject								
Reimbursement of cities and villages for fire calls on state highways								

#### **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

- 1. Cost per claim for a fire call is assumed to be \$425. This is based on the Department's experience in FY2005 when a total of \$221,777.33 was paid for 543 eligible fire call claims for an average of \$408.43. Fuel and other inflationary costs are expected to increase this by 4% in FY 2006 so that the average claim would increase to \$425.
- 2. This bill would permit cities and villages to claim reimbursement from DOT for any type of fire department being called out, but would have no other effect on eligibility of claims (fire equipment need not actually be used, just called out for a fire call).
- 3. The change would increase the number of municipalities eligible to file claims, adding 141 cities and 51 villages that are not currently eligible because of the type of fire department serving the community. Under current law only 48 of the 189 Wisconsin cities and 344 of the 395 villages are eligible to file fire claims. Thus 141 previously ineligible cities would now be eligible to file fire claims, for a factor of 141/189= .746 newly eligible cities. Similarly, 51 of the 395 villages would be newly eligible to file fire claims, for a factor of 51/395= .13 newly eligible villages.
- 4. The number of claims paid to cities is assumed to increase as follows: Data are available from CY 2004 vehicle crashes to establish the actual number of the types of claims. For cities, there were responses to 100 vehicle fires, 90 to deal with hazardous materials, 277 to extricate one person and 16 to extricate 2 persons for a total of 483 events in these categories. If it assumed that 90% of these are single type of incidents, then there would be .746x.90x483= 324 newly eligible incidents for cities for fires, material spills, and extrication. The cost for fire claims for these 324 events would be 324 incidents x \$425/incident= \$137,700. There were also 3204 medical transport events for cities in CY 2004. Assume that a fire truck is called out in two-thirds (.67) of these incidents (not for fires, hazardous materials, or extrication). Using the same type of calculation as described above, there are .746x.67x3204= 1601 medical transport incidents for newly eligible cities. The cost for this would be 1601 incidents x \$425/incident= \$680,425. In an analysis to the similar 2003 SB-222, the department merely assumed that there would be two new claims for each newly eligible city and one new claim for each newly eligible village. The current more detailed analysis shows that to be a vast underestimate of the total number of expected new claims.
- 5. The number of claims paid to villages is assumed to increase as follows: Data are available from CY 2004 vehicle crashes to establish the actual number of the types of claims. For villages, there were responses to 37 vehicle fires, 32 to deal with hazardous materials, 82 to extricate one person and 5 to extricate 2 persons for a total of 156 events in these categories. If it assumed that 90% of these are single type of incidents, then there would be .13x.90x156= 18 newly eligible incidents for villages for fires, material spills, and extrication. The cost for fire claims for these 18 events would be 18 incidents x \$425/incident= \$7,650. There were also 776 medical transport events for cities in CY 2004. Assume that a fire truck is called out in two-thirds (.67) of these incidents (not for fires, hazardous materials, or extrication). Using the same type of calculation as described above, there are .13x.67x776= 68 medical transport incidents for newly eligible villages. The cost for this would be 68 incidents x \$425/incident= \$28,726.
- 6. In addition to claims paid, there are also additional costs to process claims. Assuming a nominal average cost to process a claim of \$25, the additional 2011 claims per year would increase departmental costs by \$50,275.
- 7. The total new cost to the department, even if the rate of incidents does not increase in the coming years, would be \$904,776. This does not include any payments by the department for any non-vehicle fires such as grass fires or when the department makes payment when a fire truck is called out for such things as a vehicle's steaming broken heater hose.

- 7. Fire calls on connecting highways are assumed to not be eligible since this program establishes fire claims as a maintenance obligation of the maintaining authority. The local government has maintenance responsibility for connecting highways within that municipality and they receive connecting highway aids to cover those costs. Claims for fire calls on connecting highways are not eligible under existing law.
- 8. The bill provides no funding for these additional claims. The Bureau of Highway Operations would have to absorb the costs within the funds available to operate and maintain the state highway system. This would affect other services supporting mobility and safety on the existing highway system.
- 9. Assume that the amount of insurance payments is small in comparison to the proportion of the total dollar amount of new claim payments by the department. Too few incentives are built in to the program to pursue insurance claims and because there are the large number of uninsured motorists and motorists insured by other states (both categories have a low rate of payment for claims).
- 10. This is the companion bill to 2005 SB-276.

#### **Long-Range Fiscal Implications**

This bill increases the state's cost for what has traditionally been a local government service, fire protection. With the change to make all cities and villages eligible, the costs are expected to increase and there is reason to expect an increase in the maximum amount per claim related to full time professional fire departments providing these services.

### Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2005 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

×	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplemental		
LRB	Number	05-3416/	/1	Intro	duction Nu	ımber	AB-610		
Subject Reimb	ct oursement of c	cities and villa	ages for fire (	calls on state	highways				
	-time Costs o alized fiscal e		mpacts for S	State and/or	Local Govern	nment (do	not include in		
II. Ann	nualized Cost	ts:			Annualized F	iscal Impa	act on funds from:		
			***************************************	٩	Increased Cos	sts	Decreased Costs		
	te Costs by (						4		
	te Operations		d Fringes		\$50,27				
<del></del>	E Position Cha		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		(0.5 FTI				
	te Operations		S ·		854,50	01			
<del></del>	al Assistance								
$\vdash$	s to Individuals		·						
	OTAL State (	Costs by Cat	legory		\$904,77	76	\$		
	te Costs by S	Source of Fu	ınds						
GPF	₹		300						
FED	)								
PRC	D/PRS		Alberta, yen Ange			the second second			
SEG	G/SEG-S				904,77	76			
	ite Revenues ues (e.g., tax				nl will increase ets.)	or decrea	ase state		
					Increased Re		Decreased Rev		
	R Taxes					\$	\$		
	R Earned								
FED									
	D/PRS								
	G/SEG-S								
T	OTAL State F	Revenues				\$	\$		
	W. W		NET ANNUA	LIZED FISC	AL IMPACT				
					Sta				
NET CHANGE IN COSTS					\$904,77	76	\$		
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE					\$ \$854,5				
	-								
Agenc	cy/Prepared E	Зу		Authorized	Signature		Date		
DOT/ F	Richard Moss	(608) 267-78	330	Julie Johnso	on (608) 267-37	9/6/2005			